

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/520,419	MEEK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	R. DAVID RINES	3626	

All Participants:

(1) R. DAVID RINES

(2) Anthony P. Filomena (Reg. # 44,108)

Date of Interview: 19 September 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

1 and 46

Prior art documents discussed:

Mebane et al. and Wong et al.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/R. DAVID RINES/
Examiner, Art Unit 3626

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner and Representative agreed to amend claims 1 and 46 by Examiner's amendment to place the case in condition for allowance. Examiner and Representative additionally reached agreement regarding to intriduce claim language to overcome rejection under 35 U.S.C. 101..